arXiv:0903.2032v1 [math.RT] 11 Mar 2009

ON THE VARIETY OF ALMOST COMMUTING NILPOTENT MATRICES

ELIANA ZOQUE

Abstract. We study the variety of $n\times n$ matrices with commutator of rank at most one. We describe its irreducible components; two of them correspond to the pairs of commuting matrices, and $n-2$ components of smaller dimension corresponding to the pairs of rank one commutator. In our proof we define a map to the zero fiber of the Hilbert scheme of points and study the image and the fibers.

1. Introduction

Let V be a vector space of dimension n over a field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic equal to 0 or $\geq n/2$. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{gl}_n(V)$ and $\mathbf{n}$ be the nilcone of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., the cone of nilpotent matrices of $\mathfrak{g}$. We write elements of V and V^* as column and row vectors, respectively. In this paper we study the variety

$$\mathcal{N}:=\{(X,Y,i,j)\in\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{n}\times V\times V^*\,|\,[X,Y]+ij=0\}$$

and prove that it has n irreducible components: 2 of dimension n^2+n-1 corresponding to the case where the matrices commute, and $n-2$ of dimension n^2+n-2, corresponding to the noncommutative pairs.

The pairs of almost commuting matrices have been studied recently in [7], where Gan and Ginzburg study the structure of the scheme

$$\mathcal{M}:=\{(X,Y,i,j)\in\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}\times V\times V^*\,|\,[X,Y]+ij=0\}.$$

They prove that the irreducible components of $\mathcal{M}$ are the closures of the sets $\mathcal{M}_0,\mathcal{M}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{M}_n$, defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_t=\{(X,Y,i,j)\in\mathcal{M}\,|\,&Y\text{ has pairwise distinct eigenvalues and}\\ &\dim\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle i=t,\ \dim j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle=n-t\}\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle i$ (resp. $j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle$) is the smallest subspace of V (resp. V^*) containing i (resp. j) and invariant under X and Y.

Let $\mathcal{K}_n=\{(X,Y)\in\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{n}\,|\,[X,Y]=0\}$, the variety of commuting nilpotent matrices. Baranovsky proved in [1] that $\mathcal{K}_n$ is irreducible and has dimension n^2-1. In his proof, he shows that $U=\{(X,Y,i)\in\mathcal{K}_n\times V\,|\,\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i=V\}$ is irreducible, dense in $\mathcal{K}_n\times V$ an has dimension n^2+n-1. $GL(V)$ acts faithfully on U, and the quotient $U/GL(V)$ is a fiber of the Hilbert scheme of points under the Hilbert-Chow morphism.

Let

$$\mathcal{N}_{r,s}=\{(X,Y,i,j)\in\mathcal{N}\,|\,\dim\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle i=r,\ \dim j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle=s\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}'_{r,s}=\{(X,Y,i,j)\in\mathcal{N}\,|\,\dim\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle i\leq r,\ \dim j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle\leq s\}$$

and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{r,s}$ the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$. Clearly $\mathcal{N}_{r,s}\subseteq\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{r,s}\subseteq\mathcal{N}'_{r,s}$.

Since X and Y can be put in upper triangular form simultaneously ([6], Lemma 12.7), we have that $[X,Y]$ is not only strictly upper triangular, but the entries that are located two positions above the diagonal are zero too. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{0,n} \cup \mathcal{N}_{n,0} \cup \bigcup_{0<r+s<n} \mathcal{N}_{r,s}.$$

Following Baranovsky, we have that $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{0,n} = \mathcal{N}'_{0,n}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{n,0} = \mathcal{N}'_{n,0}$ which can be identified with $\mathcal{K}_n \times V$ and $\mathcal{K}_n \times V^*$, respectively.

Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1. (a) *The irreducible components of* $\mathcal{N}$ *are precisely* $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{t,n-1-t}$, $1 \leq t \leq n-2$, $\mathcal{N}'_{0,n}$ *and* $\mathcal{N}'_{n,0}$.

(b) $\dim \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{t,n-1-t} = n^2+n-2$ *for* $1 \leq t \leq n-2$ *and* $\dim \mathcal{N}'_{0,n} = \dim \mathcal{N}'_{n,0} = n^2+n-1$.

In the last section we study the variety

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(A,B,i,j) \in \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n} \times V \times V^* \mid A+B = ij\}.$$

and describe its irreducible components. The key fact is that if $(A,B,i,j) \in \mathcal{S}$ then A and B are simultaneously triangularizable. The considerations that we make for this variety are simpler that the ones for $\mathcal{N}$ since in this case it is easy to deform an element of $\mathcal{S}$ and stay inside $\mathcal{S}$, one just has to consider matrices that are strictly upper triangular in the given basis.

2. The Hilbert scheme

In this section we establish a connection between the Hilbert scheme and $\mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t}$ to prove that the later is irreducible if $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{K} = 0$ or $\geq n/2$. This will also allow us to prove part (b) of Theorem 1.

Clearly every element of $\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle i$ (resp. $j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle$) can be written as $p(X,Y)i$ (resp. $jp(X,Y)$) where $p(x,y) \in \mathbb{K}\langle x,y\rangle$ is a polynomial in the noncommutative variables x and y.

Lemma 2. *$j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle i$) is a right (resp. left) $\mathbb{K}[x,y]$-module and its perpendicular complement $(j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle)^\perp = \{v \in V \mid uv = 0\ \forall u \in j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle\}$ (resp. $(\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle i)^\perp = \{z \in V^* \mid zw = 0\ \forall w \in \mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle i\}$) is a left (resp. right) $\mathbb{K}[x,y]$-module, where x and y act as X and Y respectively.*

Proof. $j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle$ and $(j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle)^\perp$ are right and left $\mathbb{K}\langle x,y\rangle$-modules, respectively. We have to prove that the two-sided ideal generated by $[x,y]$ acts as zero on both of them.

Let $q_1(x,y)$, $q_2(x,y) \in \mathbb{K}\langle x,y\rangle$. Then for every $v \in (j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle)^\perp$,

$$q_1(X,Y)(XY-YX)q_2(X,Y)v = q_1(X,Y)i(jq_2(X,Y)v) = 0$$

since $jq_2(X,Y) \in \mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle$. This proves that $(j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle)^\perp$ is a $\mathbb{K}[x,y]$-module

To prove that $j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle$ itself is a $\mathbb{K}[x,y]$-module, note that $j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle \subseteq (\langle X,Y\rangle i)^\perp$ since the elements of $j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle$ and $\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle i$ have the form $jp(X,Y)$, $q(X,Y)i$ for $p(x,y)$, $q(x,y) \in \mathbb{K}\langle x,y\rangle$, and $jp(X,Y)q(X,Y)i = 0$ ([7], Lemma 2.1.3.). This proves the claim. □

From now on, we write $j\mathbb{K}[X,Y] = j\mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle$, $\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i = \mathbb{K}\langle X,Y\rangle i$.

We now define a map $\mathcal{N}_{r,s} \to \mathcal{H}^r_{[0]} \times \mathcal{H}^s_{[0]}$ where $\mathcal{H}^m_{[0]}$ is the fiber of the Hilbert-Chow morphism $\mathcal{H}^m(\mathbb{A}^2) \to S^m(\mathbb{A}^2)$ over the point $m \cdot [0] \in S^m(\mathbb{A}^2)$ and $\mathcal{H}^m(\mathbb{A}^2)$ denotes the Hilbert scheme of points in the affine plane. The image of $(X, Y, i, j) \in \mathcal{N}_{r,s}$ to $\mathcal{H}^r_{[0]}$ is the ideal $\{p(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}[x, y] \,|\, p(X, Y)i = 0\}$. This ideal is also equal to $\{p(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}[x, y] \,|\, p(X, Y)v = 0 \ \forall v \in \mathbb{K}[X, Y]i\}$ since i is a cyclic vector for X and Y on $\mathbb{K}[X, Y]i$. Similarly, we define $\mathcal{N}_{r,s} \to \mathcal{H}^s_{[0]}$ as the ideal $\{p(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}[x, y] \,|\, jp(X, Y) = 0\}$.

Since $(\mathbb{K}[X, Y]i)^\perp$ and $(j\mathbb{K}[X, Y])^\perp$ are $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$-modules, one could try to induce maps $\mathcal{N}_{r,s} \to \mathcal{H}^{n-s}_{[0]}, \mathcal{H}^{n-r}_{[0]}$, but there may not be a cyclic vector for the actions of X and Y on those spaces. However, if $r + s = n - 1$ the following theorem implies that such map does exist.

Theorem 3. *There is a well-defined regular map $\mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t} \to \mathcal{H}^{t+1}_{[0]}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ induced by the actions of X and Y on $(j\mathbb{K}[X, Y])^\perp$. This map is dominant and the image of any element of $\mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t}$ has the form $\langle y^{t+1}, x - a_1 y - \cdots - a_t y^t\rangle$ or $\langle x^t, y - a_1 x - \cdots - a_t x^t\rangle$ for some $a_1, \ldots, a_t \in \mathbb{K}$.*

Similar considerations hold for the map $\mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t} \to \mathcal{H}^{n-t}_{[0]}(\mathbb{A}^2)$ induced by the actions of X and Y on $(\mathbb{K}[X, Y]i)^\perp$. In order to prove Theorem 1, we study the image and the fibers of the map $\Psi : \mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t} \to \mathcal{H}^t_{[0]} \times \mathcal{H}^{n-t}_{[0]}$. Recall that $GL_n(\mathbb{K})$ acts on $\mathcal{N}$ by $G \cdot (X, Y, i, j) = (GXG^{-1}, GYG^{-1}, Gi, jG^{-1})$.

Theorem 4. *The fibers of the map $\Psi : \mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t} \to \mathcal{H}^t_{[0]} \times \mathcal{H}^{n-1-t}_{[0]}$ are the $GL_n(\mathbb{K})$-orbits, and the isotropy of each element of $\mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t}$ is one-dimensional.*

In order to prove Theorems 3 and 4 we need a technical result.

Lemma 5. *Let $(X, Y, i, j) \in \mathcal{N}_{r,s}$. There is a basis $\{e_1, \ldots e_n\}$ of V with dual basis $\{e_1^*, \ldots e_n^*\}$ of V^* so that $e_r = i$, $e^*_{n+1-s} = j$, and X, Y are upper triangular in this basis.*

Proof. Since $j\mathbb{K}[X, Y]$ annihilates $\mathbb{K}[X, Y]i$ we can decompose $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ so that $V_1 = \mathbb{K}[X, Y]i$ and $V_3^* = (j\mathbb{K}[X, Y])^*$. We are to find elements $e_1, \ldots, e_r \in V_1$, $e_{r+1}, \ldots, e_{n-s} \in V_2$, $e_{n+1-s}, \ldots, e_n \in V_3$ satisfying the conditions.

Consider the lex deg order of the monomials in $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$

$$1 < x < y < x^2 < xy < y^2 < x^3 < x^2y < xy^2 < y^3 < \ldots$$

Choose the largest (according to $<$) monomial m_1 so that $m_1(X, Y)i \neq 0$ (it exists since $X^aY^bi = 0$ if $a+b \geq n$), and inductively choose m_k as the largest monomial so that $m_k(X, Y)i$ is not a linear combination of $m_1(X, Y)i, \cdots, m_{k-1}(X, Y)i$. This gives us r monomials $m_1, \cdots, m_r$ so that $\mathbb{K}[X, Y]i = \langle m_1(X, Y)i, \cdots, m_r(X, Y)i\rangle$. We set $e_1 = m_1(X, Y)i, \ldots, e_r = m_r(X, Y)i = i$. The action of X and Y in this basis is triangular, since multiplying by x or y "increases" monomials, and for every monomial m, either $m(X, Y)i$ is in the basis or is a linear combination of larger monomials. That $e_r = i$ is a consequence of the following lemma which will also be useful later.

Lemma 6. *Let $m_t(x, y) = x^{a_t}y^{b_t}$ and $\lambda = \{(a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_r, b_r)\}$. If $(a, b) \in \lambda$ and $0 \leq a' \leq a$, $0 \leq b' \leq b$ then $(a', b') \in \lambda$.*

Proof. If $(a',b')\notin\lambda$ then $X^{a'}Y^{b'}i$ can be written as a linear combination of larger monomials $\displaystyle\sum_{x^{a'}y^{b'}<x^cy^d}\alpha_{c,d}X^cY^di$. Then

$$m_t(X,Y)i=X^{a-a'}Y^{b-b'}\left(\sum_{x^{a'}y^{b'}<x^cy^d}\alpha_{c,d}X^cY^di\right)=\sum_{x^{a'}y^{b'}<x^cy^d}\alpha_{c,d}X^{c+a-a'}Y^{d+b-b'}i$$

but this is a contradiction since $x^{a'}y^{b'}<x^cy^d$ implies $x^ay^b<x^{c+a-a'}y^{d+b-b'}$. □

In particular this implies that $m_r(x,y)=1$ and therefore $e_r=i$.

Now we can follow the same procedure in $V_3^*=j\mathbb{K}[X,Y]$ to find elements $e^*_{n+1-s},\dots,e^*_n\in j\mathbb{K}[X,Y]$ which in turn give rise to $e_{n+1-s},\dots,e_n\in V_3\subseteq V$.

To find the remaining elements of the basis, note that since $V_2\subseteq(j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$ we have that $j\mathbb{K}[X,Y]XV_2, j\mathbb{K}[X,Y]YV_2\subseteq j\mathbb{K}[X,Y]V_2=0$. This means that $X|_{V_2},Y|_{V_2}:V_2\to V_1\oplus V_2$. Since $X,Y:V_1\to V_1$, the actions of X and Y as endomorphisms of V_2 are nilpotent, and they are commutative since $[X,Y]=ij$ which acts as 0 on this space. Therefore we can find $e_{r+1},\dots,e_{n-s}\in V_2$ that make both X and Y upper triangular when restricted to V_2. The basis $\{e_1,\dots e_n\}$ of V satisfies the conditions. □

Therefore we can assume that X and Y are upper triangular,

$$i=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\\vdots\\0\\1\\0\\\vdots\\0\\0\end{pmatrix},\ \mathbb{K}[X,Y]i=\begin{pmatrix}*\\*\\\vdots\\*\\*\\0\\\vdots\\0\\0\end{pmatrix},j=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&\dots&0&1&0&\dots&0&0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$j\mathbb{K}[X,Y]=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&\dots&0&*&*&\dots&*&*\end{pmatrix};$$

where the 1's in i and j are located in the r-th and $(n+1-s)$-th position, respectively.

Proof of Theorem 3. We have to prove that $(j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$ admits a cyclic vector and that one of X, Y is regular when restricted to that space.

Let $w\in(j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp\setminus\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$. Since $Xw,Yw\in(j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp=\mathbb{K}w\oplus\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$ and X,Y are nilpotent, we have that $Xw,Yw\in\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$; let $Xw=P(X,Y)i, Yw=Q(X,Y)i$, where P and Q are polynomials. Let $Q(x,y)=Q_1(x,y)+c$ where $Q_1(0,0)=0$. If $c\neq0$ then we have

$$\frac{1}{c}(YP(X,Y)-XQ_1(X,Y))i=\frac{1}{c}(YP(X,Y)-XQ(X,Y)+cX)i$$
$$=\frac{1}{c}(YX-XY)w+Xi=\frac{1}{c}ijw+Xi=Xi$$

and every monomial in the left-hand side is $>x$ in the lex deg order. Multiplying by $X^{a-1}Y^b$, $a>0$, $b\geq0$; we conclude that X^aY^bi is a linear combination of larger monomials. According to the construction of the basis in Lemma 5 we have that the basis for $\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$ is $\{Y^t i, \dots, Yi, i\}$ and this implies that Y acts regularly in $\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$.

If P has a constant term we can reverse the roles of x, y in the lex deg order. Now we prove that at least one of the polynomials P, Q has a nonzero constant term.

We can choose $u \in V \setminus (j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$ so that $Xu, Yu \in (j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$: to do this take any $u_0 \in V \setminus (j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$; if $Xu_0, Yu_0 \in (j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$, take $u = u_0$. If not, say $Xu_0 \notin (j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$, take $u_1 = Xu_0$ and repeat the process.

Then $ju \neq 0$ and $Xu = \alpha w + R(X,Y)i, Yu = \beta w + S(X,Y)i$ for some $R, S \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$. If $ju = 0$ then $j\mathbb{K}[X,Y]u = 0$ since $Xu, Yu \in (j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$. We can assume, normalizing u if necessary, that $ju = 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} i = iju = XYu - YXu &= X(\beta w + S(X,Y)i) - Y(\alpha w + R(X,Y)i) \\ &= (\beta P(X,Y) - \alpha Q(X,Y) + XS(X,Y) - YR(X,Y))i. \end{aligned}$$

If P and Q have no constant term then all the monomials in the right-hand side have positive degree, a contradiction.

Therefore one of X or Y acts regularly on $\mathbb{K}[X,Y]$. Assume without loss of generality that it is Y. It is easy to see that then $X|_{\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i} = A(Y)|_{\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i}$ where $A(y) = a_1 + \dots + a_{t-1}y^{t-1} \in \mathbb{K}[y]$

Now we prove that there exists $i' \in (j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp \setminus \mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$ so that $Yi' = i$. Since $Xw, Yw \in \mathbb{K}[X,Y]i = \mathbb{K}[Y]i$, let

$$Xw = D(Y)i = (d_0 + d_1Y + \dots + d_{t-1}Y^{t-1}i),\ Yw = C(Y)i = (c_0 + c_1Y + \dots + c_{t-1}Y^{t-1})i.$$

If $c_0 \neq 0$ let $i' = \frac{1}{c_0}(w - c_1 i - \dots - c_{t-1}Y^{t-2}i) \in \mathbb{K}w \oplus \mathbb{K}[Y]i = (j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$. So assume by contradiction that $c_0 = 0$. Then $jw = 0$ implies

$$0 = ijw = (XY - YX)w = (A(Y)C(Y) - YD(Y))i$$

and therefore, comparing the coefficient of Y, $d_0 = 0$.

Let $u \in V$ as before. Then

$$\begin{aligned} i = iju = XYu - YXu &= X(\beta w + S(X,Y)i) - Y(\alpha w + R(X,Y)i) \\ &= (\beta D(Y) - \alpha C(Y) + XS(X,Y) - YR(X,Y))i; \end{aligned}$$

but all the monomials on the right-hand side have positive degree, which is impossible. Therefore we can find such i'.

But $Xi' = \frac{1}{c_0}(Xw - c_1Xi - \dots - c_{t-1}XY^{t-2}i) \in \mathbb{K}[X,Y]i =\subseteq (j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$. Therefore $\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i \subsetneq \mathbb{K}[X,Y]i' \subseteq (j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$. This and $\dim(j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp = 1 + \dim \mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$ imply that $(j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp = \mathbb{K}[X,Y]i' = \mathbb{K}[Y]i'$ which means that i' is a cyclic vector in $(j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$ and therefore we have a map $\mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t} \to \mathcal{H}^{t+1}_{[0]}(\mathbb{A}^2)$. If $Xi' = (a_1Y + a_2Y^2 + \dots + a_tY^t)i'$ then the image of (X,Y,i,j) in $\mathcal{H}^{t+1}_{[0]}(\mathbb{A})$ is $\langle y^{t+1}, x - a_1y - a_2y^2 - \dots - a_ty^t\rangle$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $x_{r,s}$ and $y_{r,s}$ denote the entries in the r-th row and s-th column of the matrices that represent X and Y respectively in the basis described in Lemma 5.

Since $\begin{vmatrix} x_{t+1,t} & y_{t+1,t} \\ x_{t+2,t+1} & y_{t+2,t+1} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$ we can assume without loss of generality that $x_{t+1,t}, y_{t+2,t+1} \neq 0$. Therefore

$$\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i = \langle i, Yi, \dots, Y^{t-1}\rangle,\ j\mathbb{K}[X,Y] = \langle j, jX, \dots, jX^{n-1-t}\rangle.$$

Consider the filtration $W_0 = (j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^{\perp} = \langle j, jX, \dots, jX^{n-1-t}\rangle^{\perp}$, $W_1 = \langle jX, \dots, jX^{n-1-t}\rangle^{\perp}$, $\dots$, $W_{n-1-t} = (jX^{n-1-t})^{\perp}$,

We choose a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_t, v_{t+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ of V so that $v_1 = Y^{t-1}i, \dots v_t = i, v_{t+1} = i' \in W_0 \setminus \mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$, $v_{t+2} \in W_1 \setminus W_0, \dots,$ $v_n \in V \setminus W_{n-1-t}$, and we can do this in such a way that

$$x_{p,q} = \begin{cases} 0 & q-p \geq 0 \\ a_1 & q-p = 1,\, 1 \leq p \leq t \\ 1 & q-p = 1,\, t+1 \leq p \leq n-1 \\ 0 & q-p \neq 1,\, t+1 \leq p \leq n,\, t+1 \leq q \leq n \end{cases},$$

$$y_{p,q} = \begin{cases} 0 & q-p \geq 0 \\ 1 & q-p = 1,\, 1 \leq p \leq t \\ b_1 & q-p = 1,\, t+1 \leq p \leq n-1 \\ 0 & q-p \neq 1,\, 1 \leq p \leq t+1,\, 1 \leq q \leq t+1 \end{cases};$$

where $\Psi(X,Y,i,j) = (y^{t+1}, \langle x - a_1 y - \dots - a_t y^t\rangle, \langle x^{n-t}, y - b_1 x - \dots - b_{n-1-t}x^{n-1-t}\rangle)$ and $a_1 b_1 \neq 1$

For example, for $n = 7$, $t = 4$:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & x_{16} & x_{17} \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & x_{26} & x_{27} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 & x_{36} & x_{37} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & x_{46} & x_{47} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_{16} & y_{17} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & y_{26} & y_{27} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & y_{36} & y_{37} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & y_{46} & y_{47} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, i' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 b_1 - 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Note that we are changing j from our previous notation, this is to simplify our expressions for X and Y).

First we are to prove that the isotropy is one-dimensional. Let $Z = G - I$ where $G \cdot (X,Y,i,j) = (X,Y,i,j)$. $Z\mathbb{K}[Y]i = 0$ and $j\mathbb{K}[X]Z = 0$ imply $z_{p,q} = 0$ if $p \geq t+2$ or $q \leq t$. Therefore Z is upper triangular. We want to prove that $z_{p,q} = 0$ unless $p = 1$, $q = n$. We proceed by induction on $q - p$.

$[Z,X] = [Z,Y] = 0$ imply

$$\sum_{r \leq m \leq s} \begin{vmatrix} x_{r,m} & z_{r,m} \\ x_{m,s} & z_{m,s} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{r \leq m \leq s} \begin{vmatrix} y_{r,m} & z_{r,m} \\ y_{m,s} & z_{m,s} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

for every $r \leq s$ (see [5]).

For $r = t, s = t+1$ we have

$$0 = \begin{vmatrix} y_{t,t} & z_{t,t} \\ y_{t,t+1} & z_{t,t+1} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} y_{t,t+1} & z_{t,t+1} \\ y_{t+1,t+1} & z_{t+1,t+1} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_{t+1,t+1} \end{vmatrix} = z_{t+1,t+1}.$$

This proves the case $q-p=0$.

Assume that $z_{p,q}=0$ if $q-p<u$ and let r and s be so that $s-r=u+1$. We are to prove that $z_{r,s-1}=z_{r+1,s}=0$.

$$0=\sum_{r\le m\le s}\begin{vmatrix}x_{r,m}&z_{r,m}\\x_{m,s}&z_{m,s}\end{vmatrix}=\begin{vmatrix}x_{r,r}&z_{r,r}\\x_{r,s}&z_{r,s}\end{vmatrix}+\begin{vmatrix}x_{r,r+1}&z_{r,r+1}\\x_{r+1,s}&z_{r+1,s}\end{vmatrix}+\begin{vmatrix}x_{r,s-1}&z_{r,s-1}\\x_{s-1,s}&z_{s-1,s}\end{vmatrix}+\begin{vmatrix}x_{r,s}&z_{r,s}\\x_{s,s}&z_{s,s}\end{vmatrix}$$

$$=\begin{vmatrix}x_{r,r+1}&0\\x_{r+1,s}&z_{r+1,s}\end{vmatrix}+\begin{vmatrix}x_{r,s-1}&z_{r,s-1}\\x_{s-1,s}&0\end{vmatrix}=x_{r,r+1}z_{r+1,s}-x_{s-1,s}z_{r,s-1}=\begin{vmatrix}x_{r,r+1}&z_{r,s-1}\\x_{s-1,s}&z_{r+1,s}\end{vmatrix}.$$

Similarly, $0=\begin{vmatrix}y_{r,r+1}&z_{r,s-1}\\y_{s-1,s}&z_{r+1,s}\end{vmatrix}$.

$r\le t$ and $s-1>t$ we have

$$0=\begin{vmatrix}a_1&z_{r,s-1}\\1&z_{r+1,s}\end{vmatrix}=\begin{vmatrix}1&z_{r,s-1}\\b_1&z_{r+1,s}\end{vmatrix}\Rightarrow z_{r,s-1}=z_{r+1,s}=0.$$

If $r>t$ then $r+1\ge t+2$, so $z_{r+1,s}=0$ and

$$0=\begin{vmatrix}x_{r,r+1}&z_{r,s-1}\\x_{s-1,s}&z_{r+1,s}\end{vmatrix}=\begin{vmatrix}1&z_{r,s-1}\\1&0\end{vmatrix}=-z_{r,s-1}.$$

Similar considerations apply if $s-1\le t$.

This proves that $z_{p,q}=0$ if $p-q\le n-2$ (since in the computations above we require $r\ge 1$, $s\le n$). Therefore $z_{p,q}=0$ unless $p=1$, $q=n$.

It is easy to check that if $z_{1,n}$ is arbitrary and $z_{p,q}=0$ for $(p,q)\ne(1,n)$ then $G=I+Z$ fixes (X,Y,i,j). Therefore the isotropy is one-dimensional.

Now we want to prove that the conjugacy class is uniquely determined by the image under Ψ. In order to do that we are to find a suitable basis in which X and Y are easy to describe.

Lemma 7. *There exists a vector $v\in V$ so that*

(a) $v\notin Im\,X+Im\,Y$;

(b) $Z_m v\in Y\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$ *where* $Z_m=(Y-b_1X-\cdots-b_{n-1-t-m}X^{n-1-t-m})X^m; 0\le m\le n-2-t$.

(c) $Z_0v=(Y-b1X-\cdots-b_{n-1-t}X^{n-1-t})v=0$*;*

Proof. We will construct v one entry at a time (using the basis from before).

$$v=\begin{pmatrix}v_1\\\vdots\\v_{t+1}\\v_{t+2}\\\vdots\\v_n\end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $v_n=1$, this guarantees (a).

Now we prove that $Im\,Z_m\subseteq\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$. This means that the last $n-t$ rows of Z_m vanish. Let $\tilde{Y}$ and $\tilde{X}$ be the $(n-t)\times(n-t)$ lower right submatrices of Y and X respectively; so in fact $\tilde{X}$ is a regular nilpotent matrix, and $\tilde{Y}=b_1\tilde{X}+\cdots+b_{n-1-t}\tilde{X}^{n-1-t}$. Therefore

$$(\tilde{Y}-b_1\tilde{X}-\cdots-b_{n-1-t-m}\tilde{X}^{n-1-t-m})\tilde{X}^m=$$

$$(b_{n-t-m}\tilde{X}^{n-t-m}+\cdots+b_{n-1-t}\tilde{X}^{n-1-t})\tilde{X}^m=0.$$

It is easy to see that the $(t+1)\times(t+1)$ upper left submatrix of $Y-b_1X-\cdots-b_{n-1-t-m}X^{n-1-t-m}$ is a regular nilpotent matrix, in fact, the entries just above the main diagonal are all equal to $1-a_1b_1\neq 0$.

Now consider the t-th row of Z_m. The $(t+1)$-th entry of that row of $(Y-b_1X-\cdots-b_{n-1-t-m}X^{n-1-t-m}$ is $1-a_1b_1$, and all the preceding entries are equal to 0. After multiplying by $X^{n-1-t-m}$, the $(t+1+m)$-th entry of the t-th row of Z_m is equal to $1-a_1b_1$, and all the preceding entries are equal to 0. So we use Z_{n-2-t}, $Z_{n-3-t},\dots,Z_1$ to choose $v_{n-1},v_{n-2},\dots,v_{t+2}$ so that the t-th entry of Z_mv is zero, i.e., $Z_mv\in Y\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i=Y\mathbb{K}[Y]i=\langle Yi,Y^2i,\dots,Y^ti\rangle$.

Since the $(t+1)\times(t+1)$ upper left submatrix of Z_0 is regular then, given $v_{t+2},\dots,v_n\in\mathbb{K}$, there are unique numbers $v_2,\dots,v_{t+1}\in\mathbb{K}$ so that the vector w formed in this way is in $\ker Z_0$ (w_1 is arbitrary).

□

Since $v\in V\setminus Im\,X+Im\,Y$ we have that v is a cyclic vector for X and Y, in fact,

$$v=\begin{pmatrix}*\\ *\\ \vdots\\ *\\ *\\ 1\end{pmatrix},\ Xv=\begin{pmatrix}*\\ *\\ \vdots\\ *\\ 1\\ 0\end{pmatrix},\ X^2v=\begin{pmatrix}*\\ *\\ \vdots\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\end{pmatrix},\dots,\ X^{n-1-t}v=\begin{pmatrix}*\\ \vdots\\ *\\ 1\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{pmatrix},$$

$$YX^{n-1-t}v=\begin{pmatrix}*\\ \vdots\\ 1\\ 0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{pmatrix},\dots,Y^tX^{n-1-t}v=\begin{pmatrix}1\\ \vdots\\ 0\\ 0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore $V=\langle v,Xv,\dots,X^{n-1-t}v,YX^{n-1-t}v,\dots,Y^tX^{n-1-t}v\rangle$, $\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i=\langle YX^{n-1-t}v,\dots,Y^tX^{n-1-t}v\rangle$, $(j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp=\langle X^{n-1-t}v,YX^{n-1-t}v,\dots,Y^tX^{n-1-t}v\rangle$

Now we write X and Y as matrices in this basis. Since Y acts regularly in $(j\mathbb{K}[X,Y])^\perp$ and X acts as $a_1Y+\cdots+a_{t+1}Y^{t+1}$ in this space we have that

$$x_{p,q}=\begin{cases}a_{q-p} & 1\leq p<q\leq t\\ 1 & q-p=1,\ t+1\leq p\leq n-1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}.$$

$v\in\ker Z_0$ means

$$Yv=b_1Xv-\cdots-b_{n-1-t}X^{n-1-t}v$$

and for $m=0,\dots,n-2-t$; the coefficient of $YX^{n-1-t}v$ in $Y(X^mv)$ is 0. This implies that

$$y_{p,q}=\begin{cases}1 & q-p=1,\ 1\leq p\leq t\\ b_{q-p} & t+1\leq p<q\leq n\\ 0 & q-p\geq 0,\ p=t\text{ or }q=n\end{cases}.$$

For example, for $n = 8$, $t = 4$:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_{16} & y_{17} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & y_{26} & y_{27} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & y_{36} & y_{37} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

To conclude the proof of the Theorem 4 we use following

Lemma 8. *The entries* $y_{p,q}$ *for* $1 \leq p < t$, $t + 2 \leq q < n$, *the vector* i *and the covector* j *are uniquely determined by* $a_1, \ldots, a_t$, $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1-t}$ *and by the condition* $rk([X, Y]) = 1$.

Proof. We split X and Y in blocks of sizes t, 1, $n - 1 - t$:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & X_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \; Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} & Y_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & Y_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & Y_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

so

$$X_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & \ldots & a_{t-2} & a_{t-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & a_{t-3} & a_{t-2} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; X_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} a_t \\ a_{t-1} \\ \vdots \\ a_2 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ etc.}$$

Then $X_{11}Y_{13} + X_{12}Y_{23} - Y_{12}X_{23} - Y_{13}X_{33} = \tilde{i}\tilde{j}$,a rank one matrix, where $\tilde{i}$ and $\tilde{j}$ are the truncated vector and covector respectively. Note that the entry in the lower left position of $\tilde{i}\tilde{j}$ is equal to $a_1b_1 - 1 \neq 0$, and that $X_{12}Y_{23}$ and $Y_{12}X_{23}$ are determined by $a_1, \ldots, a_t$, $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1-t}$.

Let

$$h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ldots, h_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $h_tX_{11} = 0$ and $h_tY_{13} = 0$ we conclude that $h_t\tilde{i}\tilde{j} = h_t\left(X_{12}Y_{23} - Y_{12}X_{23}\right) \neq 0$. From here we get that $\tilde{j}$ is uniquely determined by the parameters $a_1, \ldots, a_t$ $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1-t}$ (up to a constant multiple, that we ignore).

In general, after we know h_mY_{13} for $m > k$, we calculate

$$h_k\tilde{i}\tilde{j} = h_k\left(X_{11}Y_{13} + X_{12}Y_{23} - Y_{12}X_{23} - Y_{13}X_{33}\right).$$

Since $h_kX_{11} = a_1h_{k-1} + a_2h_{k-2} + \ldots$ and $h_kY_{13}X_{33} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_{k,t+2} & \ldots & y_{k,n-1} \end{pmatrix}$ we conclude that the first entry of $h_k\left(X_{11}Y_{13} + X_{12}Y_{23} - Y_{12}X_{23} - Y_{13}X_{33}\right)$ does not depend on Y_{13}, so neither does $h_k\tilde{i}\tilde{j}$. This means that $h_k\tilde{i}$ depends only on $a_1, \ldots, a_t$, $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1-t}$ (the key fact here is that $a_1b_1 - 1 \neq 0$). And with this, we can find $h_kY_{13}X_{33} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_{k,t+2} & \ldots & y_{k,n-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Since the last entry of $h_kY_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{k,t+2} & \ldots & y_{k,n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is zero, we are not loosing any information. □

This concludes the proof of Theorem 4. □

From Theorem 4 and the fact the Ψ is dominant we conclude that $\mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t}$ (and therefore $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{t,n-1-t}$) is irreducible and its dimension is $\dim \mathcal{H}_{[0]}^{t+1} + \dim \mathcal{H}_{[0]}^{n-t} + \dim GL(V) - 1 = n^2 + n - 2$. $\dim \mathcal{N}_{0,n} = \dim \mathcal{N}'_{n,0} = n^2 + n - 1$ as was proved in [1].

3. Proof of Theorem 1

First we prove the non-redundancy of $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{t,n-1-t}; 1 \leq t \leq n-2$.

Lemma 9. *$\mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t} \cap \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{r,s} = \emptyset$ unless $r = t$ and $s = n-1-t$.*

Proof. Since $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{r,s} \subseteq \mathcal{N}'_{r,s}$, then $\mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t} \cap \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{r,s} \neq \emptyset$ implies $t \leq r$, $n-1-t \leq s$, so $n-1 \leq r+s$, but $\mathcal{N}_{r,s} = \emptyset$ if $r+s \geq n$ and $r, s > 0$, therefore $n-1 = r+s$ and we conclude that $t = r$, $n-1-t = s$. □

To complete the proof of Theorem 1 we have to prove that

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}'_{0,n} \cup \mathcal{N}'_{n,0} \cup \bigcup_{t=1}^{n-2} \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{t,n-1-t}.$$

Theorem 10. *If $0 < r+s < n-1$ then $\mathcal{N}_{r,s} \subseteq \bigcup_{0<t<n-1} \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{t,n-1-t}$.*

Let $(X, Y, i, j) \in \mathcal{N}$, $r = \dim \mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$. Let λ be as in Lemma 6. The conclusion of the Lemma means that λ represents a Young diagram of size r. Let $\lambda_x = \{(a,b) \in \lambda | (a+1,b) \in \lambda\}$, $\lambda_y = \{(a,b) \in \lambda | (a, b+1) \in \lambda\}$.

Fix a decomposition $V = \mathbb{K}[X,Y]i \oplus V'$ and write X and Y in blocks accordingly:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_3 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & Y_3 \\ 0 & Y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here X_1 and Y_1 (resp. X_3 and Y_3) are nilpotent commuting endomorphisms of $\mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$ (resp. V') while $X_3, Y_3 : V' \to \mathbb{K}[X,Y]i$. We want to describe the subvariety

$$\mathcal{N}_{X_1,Y_1,i} = \{(X', Y', j') \in \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n} \times V^* | \\ X' = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X'_3 \\ 0 & X'_2 \end{pmatrix}, Y' = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 & Y'_3 \\ 0 & Y'_2 \end{pmatrix}, [X', Y'] = ij' \Big\}$$

Lemma 11. *$\mathcal{N}_{X_1,Y_1,i}$ is birationally equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_{n-r} \times (V'^*)^{r+1}$ and therefore irreducible.*

In concrete terms, we have the following

Lemma 12. *Let*

$$X'_3 = \sum_{(a,b)\in\lambda} X^a Y^b i \alpha_{(a,b)}, \; Y'_3 = \sum_{(a,b)\in\lambda} X^a Y^b i \beta_{(a,b)}$$

where $\alpha_{(a,b)}, \beta_{(a,b)} \in V'^*$. Then j', $\{\beta_{(a,b)} \,|\, (a,b) \in \lambda_x\}$ and $\{\alpha_{(0,b)} \,|\, (0,b) \in \lambda_y\}$ are uniquely determined by X'_2, Y'_2 and the remaining $\alpha_{(a,b)}, \beta_{(a,b)}$.

Proof. The condition $ij' = X_1 Y'_3 + Y'_3 X'_2 - Y_1 X'_3 - X'_3 Y'_2$ means

$$(1) \quad ij' = \sum_{(a,b)\in\lambda} (X^{a+1} Y^b i)(\beta_{(a,b)}) + (X^a Y^b i)(\beta_{(a,b)} X'_2) - (X^a Y^{b+1} i)(\alpha_{(a,b)}) \\ - (X^a Y^b i)(\alpha_{(a,b)} Y'_2)$$

$$= \sum_{(a,b)\in\lambda} (X^aY^bi)(\beta_{(a,b)}X_2' - \alpha_{(a,b)}Y_2') + \sum_{(a,b)\in\lambda, a>0} (X^aY^bi)(\beta_{(a-1,b)}) - \sum_{(a,b)\in\lambda, b>0} (X^aY^bi)(\alpha_{(a,b-1)}) + W$$

where $W = \sum_{(a,b)\in\lambda\setminus\lambda_x}(X^{a+1}Y^bi)(\beta_{(a,b)}) - \sum_{(a,b)\in\lambda\setminus\lambda_y}(X^aY^{b+1}i)(\alpha_{(a,b)})$. Note that W depends on i, X_1, Y_1, $\{\beta_{(a,b)} \mid (a,b)\in\lambda\setminus\lambda_x\}$ and $\{\alpha_{(a,b)} \mid (a,b)\in\lambda\setminus\lambda_y\}$. Let $W = \sum_{(a,b)\in\lambda}(X^aY^bi)(w_{(a,b)})$.

Let $(a,b)\in\lambda$. Consider the appearances of X^aY^bi in equation (1). For $(a,b) = (0,0)$, we have $j' = \beta_{(0,0)}X_2' - \alpha_{(0,0)}Y_2'$, and for every other $(a,b)\in\lambda$,

$$0 = \beta_{(a,b)}X_2' - \alpha_{(a,b)}Y_2' + \beta_{(a-1,b)} - \alpha_{(a,b-1)} + w_{(a,b)} \tag{2}$$

where $\beta_{(-1,b)} = \alpha_{(a,-1)} = 0$.

Therefore we can define

$$\beta_{(a,b)} = -\beta_{(a+1,b)}X_2' + \alpha_{(a+1,b)}Y_2' + \alpha_{(a+1,b-1)} - w_{(a+1,b)}, \quad (a,b)\in\lambda_x;$$
$$\alpha_{(0,b)} = \beta_{(0,b+1)}X_2' - \alpha_{(0,b+1)}Y_2' + w_{(0,b+1)}, \quad (0,b)\in\lambda_x.$$

This guarantees (2) for every $(a,b)\in\lambda\setminus(0,0)$. The definition is non-recursive since every $\alpha_{(a,b)}$, $\beta_{(a,b)}$ is defined as a regular function of covectors associated to higher monomials in the lex deg order. □

A particular case of Lemma 12 is important in its own right.

Lemma 13. *Let $X_1\in\mathfrak{gl}_r$, $Y_2\in\mathfrak{gl}_{n-r}$ be nilpotent and regular. Then for generic $\alpha_{(a,b)}$, $\beta_{(a,b)}$ we have that* $\dim j'\mathbb{K}[X,Y] = n-1-r$.

Proof. Since X_1 is regular then $Y_1 = \sum_{u=1}^{r-1} c_uX_1^u$ with $c_1,\dots,c_{r-1}\in\mathbb{K}$, i is a cyclic vector for X_1 and $\lambda = \{(0,0),\dots,(r-1,0)\}$. For simplicity we denote $\alpha_t = \alpha_{(t,0)}$, $\beta_t = \beta_{(t,0)}$ so

$$X_3' = \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} X^ti\alpha_t,\ Y_3' = \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} X^ti\beta_t.$$

It follows that

$$ij' = X_1Y_3' + Y_3'X_2' - Y_1X_3' - X_3'Y_2'$$
$$= X_1\left(\sum_{t=0}^{r-1} X^ti\beta_t\right) + \left(\sum_{t=0}^{r-1} X^ti\beta_t\right)X_2' - \left(\sum_{u=1}^{r-1} c_uX_1^u\right)\left(\sum_{t=0}^{r-1} X^ti\alpha_t\right) - \left(\sum_{t=0}^{r-1} X^ti\alpha_t\right)Y_2'$$
$$= \sum_{t=0}^{r-1} X^ti\left(\beta_{t-1} + \beta_tX_2' - \alpha_tY_2' - \sum_{u=1}^{t} c_u\alpha_{t-u}\right);$$

where we define $\beta_{-1} = 0$. Then $j' = \beta_0X_2' - \alpha_0Y_2'$ and $\beta_{t-1} + \beta_tX_2' - \alpha_tY_2' - \sum_{u=1}^{t} c_u\alpha_{t-u} = 0$ for every $t\geq 1$. This implies that $\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_{r-1},\beta_{r-1}\in V'^*$ are arbitrary and $\beta_{r-2},\dots,\beta_0$ are defined recursively by $\beta_{t-1} = -\beta_tX_2' + \alpha_tY_2' + \sum_{u=1}^{t} c_u\alpha_{t-u} = 0$.

Now we want to calculate $\dim j'\mathbb{K}[X',Y'] = \dim j'\mathbb{K}[X_2',Y_2']$ in the case where Y_2' is regular and $X_2' = \sum_{v=1}^{n-r-1} d_vY_2'^v$. Since $\beta_0 = \alpha_0Y_2' + c_1\alpha_0 - \beta_1X_2'$, it turns out that $j' = (c_1d_1 - 1)\alpha_0Y_2'$+terms with higher powers of Y_2'. It follows that $\dim j'\mathbb{K}[X',Y'] = n-r-1$ when $c_1d_1 - 1\neq 0$ and α_0 is a cyclic vector for Y_2'. □

Proof of Theorem 10. Let $(X,Y,i,j)\in\mathcal{N}_{r,s}$. We want to prove that every open set U in $\mathcal{N}$ containing (X,Y,i,j) intersects $\mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t}$ for some t. Since $\mathcal{N}_{X_1,Y_1,i}$ is birationally equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_{n-r}\times(V'^*)^{r+1}$ and the pairs of nilpotent matrices where one is regular is dense in $\mathcal{K}_{n-r}$ (see [4]), we can find $(X',Y',i,j')\in U\cap\mathcal{N}_{X_1,Y_1,i}$ so that X_2 is regular. Now we can reverse the roles of i and j to apply Lemma 13 and find $(X'',Y'',i',j')\in U\subseteq\mathcal{N}_{t,n-1-t}$ where $t=\dim j'\mathbb{K}[X',Y']$. □

Remark. The hypothesis about the characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$ has only been used for the irreducibility of the zero fiber of the Hilbert scheme. In other characteristics it is still true that

$$\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}'_{0,n}\cup\mathcal{N}'_{n,0}\cup\bigcup_{t=1}^{n-2}\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{t,n-1-t}$$

but the closed sets on the right-hand side may not be irreducible.

4. $\operatorname{rk}(A+B)\le 1$

Consider the variety

$$\mathcal{S}=\{(A,B,i,j)\in\mathbf{n}\times\mathbf{n}\times V\times V^*\mid A+B=ij\}.$$

Lemma 14. *If $(A,B,i,j)\in S$ then A and B can be simultaneously triangularized.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\dim V$, the first case being obvious. Let $v\in\ker B\setminus\{0\}$. If $jv=0$ then $Av=0$ and we can apply induction on $V/\mathbb{K}v$. Otherwise we can assume that $jv=1$. Then $i=ijv=Av+Bv=Av$ and therefore $B=ij-A=A(vj-I)$. Choose $w\in V^*$ so that $wA=0$. Then $wB=0$ and $wi=0$, so we can apply the induction on V^*/w. □

Recall that $\mathbb{K}\langle A,B\rangle i$ be the smallest subspace of V containing i and invariant under A and B.

Lemma 15. $(A+B)|_{\mathbb{K}\langle A,B\rangle i}=0$.

The proof of this Lemma is essentially the proof of Lemma 2.1.3 in [7] using our Lemma 14.

Proof. We have to prove that $(A+B)p(A,B)i=ijp(A,B)i=0$ for any polynomial p in two noncommutative variables. But

$$jp(A,B)i=\operatorname{tr}(p(A,B)ij)=\operatorname{tr}(p(A,B)(A+B))=0$$

since A and B are upper triangular in the same basis. □

As a consequence we can write $\mathbb{K}[A]i=\mathbb{K}[A,B]i=\mathbb{K}\langle A,B\rangle i$ and similarly $j\mathbb{K}[A]=j\mathbb{K}[A,B]=j\mathbb{K}\langle A,B\rangle$.

Now we want to find the irreducible components of $\mathcal{S}$. Let

$$\mathcal{S}_{r,s}=\{(A,B,i,j)\in\mathcal{S}\mid\dim\mathbb{K}[A]i\le r,\ \dim j\mathbb{K}[A]\le s\}.$$

This is clearly a closed set in the Zariski topology.

Theorem 16. *The irreducible components of $\mathcal{S}$ are $\mathcal{S}_{r,n-r}$, $r=0,1,\ldots,n-1$.*

Proof. Lemma 14 implies $\dim \mathbb{K}[A]i + \dim j\mathbb{K}[A] \le n-1$ since, in the basis given by the Lemma, the matrix ij is upper triangular and therefore the number of nonzero entries of i and j cannot exceed n. Therefore

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{r=0}^{n} \mathcal{S}_{r,n-r}.$$

Let

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ i_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},\ j_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the 1's in i_r and j_r are located in the r-th and $(r+1)$-th positions, respectively. Then $(L, i_r j_r - L, i_r, j_r) \in \mathcal{S}_{r',n-r'}$ if and only if $r = r'$. This proves that the closed sets $\mathcal{S}_{r,n-r}$, $r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ are non-redundant.

Now we have to prove that $\mathcal{S}_{r,n-r}$ is irreducible. Let

$$\mathcal{S}'_{r,s} = \{(A,B,i,j) \in \mathcal{S} \mid \dim \mathbb{K}[A]i = r,\ \dim j\mathbb{K}[A] = s\}.$$

We are to prove that $\mathcal{S}'_{r,n-r}$ is irreducible and that its closure in the Zariski topology is $\mathcal{S}_{r,n-r}$.

Let $(A,B,i,j) \in \mathcal{S}_{r,n-1-r}$ and define L, i_r, j_r as before in the same basis that is used to triangularize A and B. For $\tau \in \mathbb{K}$ consider $(A(\tau), B(\tau), i(\tau), j(\tau))$ where $A(\tau) = \tau A + (1-\tau)L$, $i(\tau) = \tau i + (1-\tau)i_r$, $j(\tau) = \tau j + (1-\tau)j_r)$, $B(\tau) = i(\tau)j(\tau) - A(\tau)$. Clearly the curve $\{(A(\tau), B(\tau), i(\tau), j(\tau)) \mid \tau \in \mathbb{K}\}$ is contained in $\mathcal{S}_{r,n-r}$ and $\dim \mathbb{K}[A(\tau)]i(\tau) = r$, $\dim j(\tau)\mathbb{K}[A(\tau)] = n-r$ except for finitely many values of τ. Therefore (A,B,i,j) is in the closure of $\mathcal{S}'_{r,n-r}$.

Every $(A,B,i,j) \in \mathcal{S}'_{r,n-r}$ can be conjugated into

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} J & A' \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix},\ i = i_r,\ j = j_r,\ B = ij - A$$

where the blocks in A have sizes r and $n-r$, respectively, and J represents the Jordan block of the appropriate size. The elements of the isotropy have the form

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} I & G' \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},\ G'J = JG'.$$

The space of $r \times (n-r)$ blocks G' with $G'J = JG'$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}^{\min\{r,n-r\}}$ and therefore irreducible. We conclude that $\mathcal{S}'_{r,n-r}$ is irreducible and its dimension is $r(n-r) + n^2 - \min\{r, n-r\}$.

□

References

[1] Baranovsky, V. The variety of pairs of commuting nilpotent matrices is irreducible. Transform. Groups 6 (2001), no. 1, 3–8.

[2] Basili, Roberta; Iarrobino, Anthony. Pairs of commuting nilpotent matrices, and Hilbert function. arXiv:0709.2304.

[3] Basili, Roberta On the irreducibility of varieties of commuting matrices. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 149 (2000), no. 2, 107–120.
[4] Basili, Roberta On the irreducibility of commuting varieties of nilpotent matrices. J. Algebra 268 (2003), no. 1, 58–80.
[5] Basili, Roberta. Some remarks on varieties of pairs of commuting upper triangular matrices and an interpretation of commuting varieties. arXiv:0803.0722.
[6] Etingof, Pavel; Ginzburg, Victor Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism. Invent. Math. 147 (2002), no. 2, 243–348.
[7] Gan, Wee Liang; Ginzburg, Victor Almost-commuting variety, D-modules, and Cherednik algebras. With an appendix by Ginzburg. IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap. 2006, 26439, 1–54.
[8] Nakajima, Hiraku. Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces. University Lecture Series, 18. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

Department of Mathematics, The University of Chicago